Paul Piwek

School of Computing and Communications



Conversational large language models are speakers

Current generations of conversational LLM can pass time-limited versions of the Turing test (Jones & Bergen, 2023)

Conversational LLMs do not have the right Gricean (1957) intentions

The Turing test is not fit for purpose because of the Eliza effect (Weizenbaum, 1966)

Conversational
LLMs haven't fully
mastered
S(peaking).

Can we be sure that training isn't providing machines with implicit intentions?

Reported intentions are typically after the fact rationalizations (Mercier & Sperber, 2017).

Conversational LLMs, such as ChatGPT and Gemini, apply different but equally rigid unengaged strategies when contradicted: Gemini (March, 2024) always cedes to the user ("You are correct. It appears ...") whereas ChatGPT40 (July, 2024) never cedes ground ("To ensure absolute accuracy, I will recompute once again").

Definitions

S = speaking as a contribution by a person to a language game, i.e. a normative social activity requiring (i) sensitivity to, i.e. caring about, peer assessment of one's contributions and (ii) engagement with peer assessment of others' contributions.

A = the algorithmic generation of output strings that we take to be English or French or Chinese or . . . , given a (more or less formal) specification of requirements on the output (e.g. a prompt, logic formula or other) .

The chatbot conceit = the design of systems that do *A* but appear to be in the business of doing *S* by framing interactions as dialogue.

The pragmatics challenge = What are the ingredients *I* such that *A* + *I* = *S*?

References

Herbert Paul Grice. 1957. Meaning. Philosophical Review, 66(3):377–388.

Cameron Jones and Benjamin Bergen. 2023. Does GPT-4 Pass the Turing Test? arXiv preprint ArXiv:2310.20216 [cs]

Hugo Mercier and Dan Sperber. 2017. The Enigma of Reason. Harvard University Press.

Joseph Weizenbaum. 1966. Eliza a computer program for the study of natural language communication between man and machine. Commun. ACM, 9(1):36–45.

Acknowledgments

The argument presented in this paper was originally prepared for an informal gathering on the 6th of July 2024 in honour of Kees van Deemter, who I'd like to thank for the many discussions we've had and will hopefully have in future about meaning, language generation and many other things. The way Kees succeeds in caring for and combining both open-mindedness and rigour exemplifies to me what it means to be a speaker in the sense of this short paper.